arXiv:math/0309184v1 [math.KT] 10 Sep 2003

Algebra cohomology over a commutative algebra revisited

Teimuraz PIRASHVILI*

February 1, 2008

T.P.: A. M. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
Alexidze str. 1,
Tbilisi 0193, Republic of Georgia
pira@rmi.acnet.ge

1 Introduction

Let A be a commutative ring and let R be an associative A-algebra. For any R-R-bimodule M the Hochschild cohomology $H_A^*(R,M)$ is defined as the cohomology of the cochain complex $C_A^*(R,M)$, where $C_A^n(R,M) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(R^{\otimes_A n}, M)$ (see [6]). It is well-known that these cohomology groups have nice properties only in the case when R is projective as an A-module. To get the right theory Shukla in early 60's modified the definition of Hochschild cohomology [9]. His idea was to resolve R by a differential graded A-algebra R_* which is projective as an A-module and then use the Hochschild cohomology of R_* instead of Hochschild cohomology of R. These groups are independent from the choice of a DG resolution. It was proved by Quillen [10] that if one takes resolutions in the category of simplicial algebras instead of DG algebras, then one gets the same result. Trough these ideas nowadays are standard, in many respect it is desirable to have a canonical complex which computes these groups. A similar problem exist not only for associative algebras, but also for Lie algebras.

The aim of this paper is to give a relatively easy bicomplex which computes the Shukla cohomology in the important particular case when A is an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Our method works not only for associative algebras but also for Lie algebras and can be used also for other sort of algebras.

---

*supported by the grants INTAS-99-00817 and RTN-Network "K-theory, linear algebraic groups and related structures" HPRN-CT-2002- 00287

2 A bicomplex for an associative A-algebra

In what follows we fix a field $\mathbb{K}$ and a commutative algebra A over $\mathbb{K}$. We write $\otimes$ and Hom instead $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Let R be an associative A-algebra and let M be a R-R-bimodule. We let $C^*(R, M)$ be the Hochschild cochain complex of R considered as an algebra over $\mathbb{K}$. Thus $C^n(R, M) = \mathrm{Hom}(R^{\otimes n}, M)$. Similarly, we let $C^*_A(R, M)$ be the Hochschild cochain complex of R considered as an algebra over A. Thus $C^n_A(R, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(R \otimes_A R \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A R, M)$. Accordingly $H^*(R, M)$ and $H^*_A(R, M)$ denotes the Hochschild cohomology of R with coefficients in M over $\mathbb{K}$ and A respectively.

We let $K^{**}(A, R, M)$ be the following bicosimplicial vector space:

$$K^{pq}(A, R, M) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes pq} \otimes R^{\otimes q}, M)$$

The q-th horizontal cosimplicial vector space structure comes from the identification

$$K^{*q}(A, R, M) = C^*(A^{\otimes q}, C^q(R, M)),$$

where $C^q(R, M)) = \mathrm{Hom}(R^{\otimes q}, M)$ is considered as a bimodule over $A^{\otimes q}$ via

$$((a_1, \cdots, a_q)f(b_1, \cdots, b_q))(r_1, \cdots, r_q) := a_1 \cdots a_q f(b_1 r_1, \cdots, b_q r_q).$$

Here $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(R^q, M)$ and $a_i, b_j \in A, r_k \in R$. The p-th vertical cosimplicial vector space structure comes from the identification

$$K^{p*}(A, R, M) = C^*(A^{\otimes p} \otimes R, M)$$

where M is considered as a bimodule over $A^{\otimes p} \otimes R$ via

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p \otimes r)m(b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_p \otimes s) := (a_1 \cdots a_p r)m(b_1 \cdots b_p s)$$

We allow ourself to denote the corresponding bicomplex by $K^{**}(A, R, M)$ as well. Thus $K^{**}(A, R, M)$ looks as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & M \arrow[r, "Id"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & M \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \cdots \\
\mathrm{Hom}(R, M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A \otimes R, M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A \otimes A \otimes R, M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \cdots \\
\mathrm{Hom}(R \otimes R, M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2} \otimes R^{\otimes 2}, M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 4} \otimes R^{\otimes 2}, M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore for $f : A^{\otimes pq} \otimes R^{\otimes q} \to M$ the corresponding linear maps

$$d(f) : A^{\otimes (p+1)q} \otimes R^{\otimes q} \to M \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(f) : A^{\otimes p(q+1)} \otimes R^{\otimes (q+1)} \to M$$

are given by

$$df(a_{01},\cdots,a_{0q},a_{11},\cdots,a_{1q},\cdots a_{p1},\cdots a_{pq},r_1,\cdots,r_q)=$$
$$a_{01}\cdots a_{0q}f(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1q},\cdots a_{p1},\cdots a_{pq},r_1,\cdots,r_q)+$$
$$+\sum_{0\leq i<p}(-1)^{i+1}f(a_{01},\cdots,a_{0q},\cdots,a_{i1}a_{i+1,1}\cdots,a_{iq}a_{i+1,q},\cdots a_{p1},\cdots a_{pq},r_1,\cdots,r_q)+$$
$$(-1)^{p+1}f(a_{01},\cdots,a_{0q},\cdots,a_{p-1,1},\cdots,a_{p-1,q},a_{p1}r_1,\cdots,a_{pq}r_q).$$

and

$$\delta(f)(a_{10},\cdots,a_{1q},\cdots,a_{p0},\cdots,a_{pq},r_0,\cdots,r_q)=$$
$$(-1)^p a_{10}\cdots a_{p0}r_0 f(a_{11},\cdots,a_{1q},a_{p1},\cdots,a_{pq},r_1,\cdots,r_q)+$$
$$\sum_{0\leq i<q}(-1)^{i+p+1}f(a_{10},\cdots,a_{1i}a_{1,i+1},\cdots,a_{pi}a_{pi+1},\cdots a_{pq},r_0,\cdots,r_ir_{i+1},\cdots,r_q)+$$
$$(-1)^{q+p+1}f(a_{10},\cdots,a_{1q-1},\cdots,a_{p0},\cdots,a_{p,q-1},r_0,\cdots,r_{q-1})a_{1q}\cdots a_{pq}r_q$$

We let $H^*(A,R,M)$ be the homology of the bicomplex $K^{**}(A,R,M)$. We also consider the following subbicomplex $\bar{K}^{**}(A,R,M)$ of $K^{**}(A,R,M)$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \\
\mathrm{Hom}(R,M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A\otimes R,M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A\otimes A\otimes R,M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \cdots \\
\mathrm{Hom}(R\otimes R,M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}\otimes R^{\otimes 2},M) \arrow[r, "d"] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \mathrm{Hom}(A^{\otimes 4}\otimes R^{\otimes 2},M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that $H^*(A,R,M)\cong H^*(\bar{K}^{**}(A,R,M))$.

It follows from the definition that

$$\mathrm{Ker}(d:K^{*0}\to K^{*1})\cong C^*_A(R,M)$$

Therefore one has the canonical homomorphism

$$\alpha^n: H^n_A(R,M)\to H^n(A,R,M),\ n\geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.1 i) *The homomorphisms α^0 and α^1 are isomorphisms. The homomorphism α^2 is a monomorphism.*

ii) *If R is projective over A, then*

$$\alpha^n: H^n_A(R,M)\to H^n(A,R,M)$$

is an isomorphism for all $n\geq 0$

iii) *The groups $H^*(A,R,M)$ are canonically isomorphic to the Quillen's cohomology theory for associative algebras [10] (= Shukla cohomology [9]).*

Proof. i) is an immediate consequence of the definition of the bicomplex $\bar{K}^{**}(A,R,M)$. ii) The bicomplex gives rise to the following spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(A^{\otimes p}, C^p(R,M)) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(A,R,M)$$

Let us recall that if X and Y are left modules over an associative algebra S, then $\mathrm{Ext}_S^*(X,Y) \cong H^*(S, \mathrm{Hom}(X,Y))$ [3], where $\mathrm{Hom}(X,Y)$ is considered as a bimodule over S via $(sft)(x) = sf(tx)$. Here $x \in X$, $s,t \in S$ and $f : X \to Y$ is a lineal map. Having this isomorphism in mind, we can rewrite $E_1^{pq} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^q_{A^{\otimes p}}(R^{\otimes p}, M)$. By our assumptions $R^{\otimes p}$ is projective over $A^{\otimes p}$. Therefore the spectral sequence degenerate and we get $H^*(A,R,M) \cong H^*(C_A(R,M)) = H_A^*(R,M)$. Here we used the obvious isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A\otimes A\otimes\cdots\otimes A}(R\otimes R\otimes\cdots\otimes R, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(R\otimes_A R\otimes_A\cdots\otimes_A R, M).$$

iii) We let $\bar{K}^*(A,R,M)$ denote the total cochain complex associated to the bicomplex $\bar{K}^{**}(A,R,M)$. First of all $H^n(A,S,M) = 0$ provided S is a free A-algebra and $n \geq 2$. This follows from ii) and from the well-known fact that Hochschild cohomology vanishes on free algebras [6]. Thanks to [10] for any R there is a simplicial A-algebra S_* such that S_n is a free A-algebra for all $n \geq 0$ and $\pi_i(S_*) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\pi_0(S_*) \cong R$. Now we can mix S_* and $\bar{K}^*$ to get the bicomplex $\bar{K}^*(A,S_*,M)$. Since $S_* \to R$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial associative algebras, it follows that it is a homotopy equivalence of simplicial vector spaces. Therefore the augmentation $\bar{K}^p(A,S_*,M) \to \bar{K}^p(A,R,M)$ is a weak equivalence and thus the homology of the total complex of $\bar{K}^p(A,S_*,M)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(A,R,M)$. On the other hand we have $H^p(\bar{K}^*(A,S_q,M)) = H^p(A,S_q,M) = 0$ for $p > 1$, $q \geq 0$ and $H^0(\bar{K}^*(A,S_q,M)) \cong H^0(A,S_q,M) \cong H_A^0(R,M)$. Therefore the spectral sequence of the bicomplex $\bar{K}^p(A,S_*,M)$ yields an isomorphism $H^n(A,R,M) \cong H^{n-1}(H_A^1(S_*,M))$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to H_A^0(R,M) \to M \to \mathsf{Der}_A(R,M) \to H_A^1(R,M) \to 0$$

yields the isomorphism $H^n(A,R,M) \cong H^{n-1}(\mathsf{Der}_A(S_*,M))$ for all $n > 1$. Here $\mathsf{Der}_A(R,M)$ is the group of all A-derivations $R \to M$. By the definition [10] $H^{n-1}(\mathsf{Der}_A(S_*,M))$ is the Quillen cohomology and hence the result.

Our next aim is to relate the cohomology $H^*(A,R,M)$ with abelian and crossed extensions of algebras. Recall that an *abelian extension* of associative A-algebras is a short exact sequence

$$(S) \qquad 0 \to M \to S \to R \to 0$$

where R and S are associative A-algebras, $p : R \to S$ is an A-algebra homomorphism and $M^2 = 0$. It is well-known that then M has a natural R-R-bimodule structure given by: $mr := ms$, $rm =: sm$, where $s \in S$ is an element such that $p(s) = r$. Assume we have a bimodule M over an associative algebra R. Then we let $\mathsf{Extalg}(A,R,M)$ be the equivalence classes of abelian extensions (S) such that the induced R-R-bimodule structure on M coincides with a given one. Let us also recall that *a crossed bimodule* is a homomorphism

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0$$

where, C_0 is an associative A-algebra, C_1 is a bimodule over C_0, ∂ is a homomorphism of C_0-C_0-bimodules and

$$\partial(c)c' = c\partial(c'),\ c,c' \in C_1,$$

In other words a crossed bimodule is nothing else but a chain algebra which is nontrivial only in dimensions 0 and 1. Indeed, since $C_2 = 0$, the condition $\partial(c)c' = c\partial(c')$ is equivalent to the Leibniz relation

$$0 = \partial(cc') = \partial(c)c' - c\partial(c').$$

It follows that the product defined by

$$cc' := \partial(c)c',\ c,c' \in C_1$$

gives an associative non-unital A-algebra structure on C_1 and $\partial : C_1 \to C_0$ is a homomorphism of A-algebras. Let $\partial : C_1 \to C_0$ be a crossed bimodule. We put $M = \ker(\partial)$ and $R = \mathrm{Coker}(\partial)$. Then the image of ∂ is an ideal of C_0, $MC_1 = C_1M = 0$ and there is a well-defined R-R-bimodule structure on M. Let A be an associative algebra and let M be an R-R-bimodule. A *crossed extension* of A by M is an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0 \to R \to 0$$

where $\partial : C_1 \to C_0$ is a crossed bimodule, such that $C_0 \to A$ is an algebra homomorphism and an R-R-bimodule structure on M induced from the crossed bimodule structure coincides with the prescribed one. For fixed R and M one can consider the category $\mathbf{Crosext}(A,R,M)$ whose objects are crossed extensions of R by M. Let $\mathbf{Cros}(A,R,M)$ be the set of connected components of the category of crossed extensions.

Corollary 2.2 i) *There is a natural bijection*

$$\mathsf{Extalg}(A,R,M) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(A,R,M).$$

ii) *There is a natural bijection*

$$\mathsf{Cros}(A,R,M) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(A,R,M).$$

Proof. These properties are well-known for Shukla cohomologies (see [9] and [2]), but they can be deduced more directly using the bicomplex $\bar{K}^{**}(A, R, M)$. Indeed, we have

$$H^2(A, R, M) = Z^2(A, R, M)/B^2(A, R, M)$$

where $Z^2(A, R, M)$ consists with pairs (f, g) such that $f : R \otimes R \to M$ and $g : A \otimes R \to M$ are linear maps and

$$ag(b, r) - g(ab, r) + g(a, br) = 0$$

$$abf(r, s) - f(ar, bs) = arg(b, s) - g(ab, rs) + g(a, r)bs$$

$$rf(s, t) - f(rs, t) + f(r, st) - f(r, s)t = 0$$

hold. Here $a, b \in A$ and $r, s, t \in R$. Moreover, (f, g) belongs to $B^2(A, R, M)$ iff there exist a linear map $h : R \to M$ such that $f(r, s) = rh(s) - h(rs) + h(r)s$ and $g(a, r) = ah(r) - h(ar)$. Starting with $(f, g) \in Z^2(A, R, M)$ we constant an abelian extension of R by M by putting $S = M \oplus R$ as a vector space. An A-module structure on S is given by $a(m, r) = (am + g(a, r), ar)$, while the multiplication on S is given by $(m, r)(n, s) = (ms + rn + f(r, s), rs)$. Conversely, given an abelian extension (S) we choice a $\mathbb{K}$-linear section $h : R \to S$ and then we put $f(r, s) := h(r)h(s) - h(rs)$ and $g(a, r) := ah(r) - h(ar)$. One easily checks that $(f, g) \in Z^2(A, R, M)$ and one gets i). Similarly, we have $H^3(A, R, M) = Z^3(A, R, M)/B^3(A, R, M)$. Here $Z^3(A, R, M)$ consists with triples (f, g, h) such that $f : R \otimes R \otimes R \to M$, $g : A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes R \otimes R \to M$ and $h : A \otimes A \otimes R \to M$ are linear maps and the following relations hold:

$$r_1 f(r_2, r_3, r_3) - f(r_1 r_2, r_3, r_4) + f(r_1, r_2 r_3, r_4) - f(r_1, r_2, r_3 r_4) + f(r_1, r_2, r_3)r_4 = 0$$

$$abcf(r, s, t) - f(ar, bs, ct) = arg(b, c, y, z) - g(ab, c, xy, z) + g(a, bc, x, yz) - g(a, b, x, y)cz$$

$$abg(c, d, x, y) - g(ac, bd, x, y) + g(a, b, cx, dy) = acxh(b, d, y) - h(ab, cd, xy) + h(a, c, x)bdy$$

$$ah(b, c, x) - h(ab, c, x) + h(a, bc, x) - h(a, b, cx) = 0$$

Moreover, (f, g, h) belongs to $B^3(A, R, M)$ iff there exist a linear maps $m : R \otimes R \to M$ and $n : A \otimes R \to M$ such that

$$f(r, s, t) = rm(s, t) - m(rs, t) + m(r, st) - m(r, s)t$$

$$g(a, b, r, s) = abm(r, s) - m(ar, bs) - arn(b, s) + n(ab, rs) - n(a, x)bs$$

$$h(a, b, r) = an(b, r) - n(ab, r) + n(a, br)$$

Let

$$0 \to M \to C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} R \to 0$$

be a crossed extension. We put $V := \mathrm{Im}(\partial)$ and consider $\mathbb{K}$-linear sections $p : R \to C_0$ and $q : V \to C_1$ of $\pi : C_0 \to R$ and $\partial : C_1 \to V$ respectively. Now we define

$$m : R \otimes R \to V \quad \text{and} \quad n : A \otimes R \to V$$

by $m(r,s) := q(p(r)p(s) - p(rs))$ and $n(a,r) := q(ap(r) - p(ar))$. Finally we define $f : R \otimes R \otimes R \to M$, $g : A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes R \otimes R \to M$ and $h : A \otimes A \otimes R \to M$ by

$$f(r,s,t) := p(r)m(s,t) - m(rs,t) + m(r,st) - m(r,s)p(t)$$

$$g(a,b,r,s) := p(as)n(b,s) - n(ab,rs) + bn(a,x)p(y) - abm(r.s) + m(ax,by)$$

$$h(a,b,r) := an(b,r) - n(ab,r) + n(a,bx)$$

Then $(f,g,h) \in Z^3(A,R,M)$ and the corresponding class in $\mathrm{H}^3(A,R,M)$ depends only on the connected component of a given crossed extension. Thus we obtain a well-defined map $\mathbf{Cros}(A,R,M) \to \mathrm{H}^3(A,R,M)$ and a standard argument (see [1]) shows that it is an isomorphism.

Remarks i) All result is still valid provided $\mathbb{K}$ is a commutative ring and A is projective as a $\mathbb{K}$-module.

ii) Let $B_*(A,A,R)$ be the two-sided bar construction. It has a natural simplicial A-algebra structure and one has an isomorphism of bicosimplicial vector spaces $K^{**}(A,R,M) \cong C^*_A(B_*(A,A,R),M)$.

3 A bicomplex for Lie algebras over a commutative ring

Let A be a commutative algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. In this section we construct a similar bicomplex for a Lie A-algebra. Actually the constructions works in a more general situation, namely for Lie-Rinehart algebras (see [8] and [5]).

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie A-algebra and let M be a $\mathcal{L}$-module. We let $C^*(\mathcal{L},M)$ be the standard cochain complex of $\mathcal{L}$ considered as a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ (see [3]). Thus $C^n(\mathcal{L},M) = \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^n(\mathcal{L}),M)$. Similarly, we let $C^*_A(R,M)$ be the standard cochain complex of $\mathcal{L}$ considered as a Lie algebra over A. Thus $C^n_A(R,M) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Lambda^n_A(\mathcal{L}),M)$. Here Λ^* (resp. Λ^*_A) denotes the exterior algebra defined over $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. over A). Accordingly $H^*(\mathcal{L},M)$ and $H^*_A(\mathcal{L},M)$ denotes the Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathcal{L}$ with coefficients in M over $\mathbb{K}$ and A respectively.

We introduce the bicomplex $K^{**}(A,\mathcal{L},M)$ as follows. For any $p \geq 0$ we put:

$$K^{p*}(A,\mathcal{L},M) = C^*(A^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{L}, M)$$

where $A^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is considered as a Lie algebra via

$$[a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p \otimes x, b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_p \otimes y] := a_1 \cdots a_p b_1 \cdots b_p [x,y]$$

and M is considered as a module over $A^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ via

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p \otimes r)m := (a_1 \cdots a_p r)m.$$

To define the horizontal cochain complex structure one observes that the horizontal coboundary map d from the previous section still yields a map

$$d : C^p(A^{\otimes q}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}, M)) \to C^{p+1}(A^{\otimes q}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}, M))$$

which takes the subspace

$$K^{pq}(A, \mathcal{L}, M) \subset C^p(A^{\otimes q}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}, M))$$

to the subspace $K^{p+1,q}(A, \mathcal{L}, M) \subset C^{p+1}(A^{\otimes q}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}, M))$. The direct computation shows that d is compatible with the vertical coboundary map and therefore $K^{p*}(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ is a well-defined bicomplex. The homology of the bicomplex $K^{p*}(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ is denoted by $H^*(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$, these groups comes with the natural map $H^*_A(\mathcal{L}, M) \to H^*(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$. The same argument as in the previous section shows that this map is an isomorphism provided $\mathcal{L}$ is a projective as a module over A. For general $\mathcal{L}$ the cohomology theory $H^*(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ is isomorphic to the Quillen cohomology theory for Lie algebras, in particular $H^2(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ classifies abelian extensions and $H^3(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ classifies crossed extension. The proof of these facts are completely similar to one considered in the previous section and therefore we omit them.

One easily observes also that $K^{p*}(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ has mining in far more general situation, namely for so called Lie-Rinehart algebras see [8] and [5]. In this case homology of $K^{p*}(A, \mathcal{L}, M)$ is isomorphic to $H^*_{LR}(\mathcal{L}, M)$ considered in [4]

Remark. Actually the above method can be generalize for algebras over operds. Indeed, let $\mathcal{P}$ be an operad defined in the category of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces. We let $\mathcal{P}_A$ be the operad in the category of A-modules given by $\mathcal{P}_A(n) := \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes A$. The forgetful functor $\mathcal{P}_A\text{-}alg \to \mathcal{P}\text{-}alg$ has the left adjoint $V \mapsto V_A = V \otimes A$. It follows that for any $R \in \mathcal{P}_A\text{-}alg$ we can consider $B_*(A, A, R)$ as a simplicial object in the category $\mathcal{P}_A\text{-}alg$. Now if $\mathcal{P}$ is a Koszul operad there is a standard complex C^* for algebras over the operad $\mathcal{P}$, which has an obvious modification C^*_A for algebras over the operad $\mathcal{P}_A$. Now the bicomplex $C^*_A(B_*(A, A, R), M)$ is a good replacement for $C^*_A(R, M)$.

References

[1] H.-J.Baues and E.G. Minian. Crossed extensions of algebras and Hochschild cohomology. Homology Homotopy Appl., 4 (2002), 63–82.

[2] H.-J.Baues and T. Pirashvili. On third MacLane cohomology. Preprint.

[3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. Homological algebra. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. xv+390 pp.

[4] J.M. Casas, M.Ladra and T. Pirashvili. Triple cohomology of Lie-Rinehart algebras and the canonical class of associative algebras. ArXive math.KT/0307354.

[5] J. Huebschmann, *Poisson cohomology and quantization*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **408** (1990), 57-113.

[6] S. MacLane. Homology. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 114 Academic Press, Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1963 x+422 pp

[7] S. MacLane. Categories for the working mathematician. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971. ix+262 pp.

[8] G. S. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 195-222.

[9] U. Shukla. Cohomologie des algébres associatives. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 78 1961 163–209

[10] D. Quillen. On the (co-) homology of commutative rings. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XVII, New York, (1968) 65–87.